

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,852 03/04/2002		Hiroshi Kamo	0071-0470P-SP	4237
2292	7590 05/21/2003			
	EWART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-0747	SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	/_
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati	nN.	Applicant(s)	1120			
	O#:-	Action Summer	10/086,8	52	KIROSHI ET AL.				
	Onic	Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
				Sanders	1714				
Peri d f	<i>The MAII</i> r Reply	LING DATE of this communicatio	on appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE I - External form of the control	VIAILING Ensions of time resions of time resions (6) MONTI period for reply period for reply re to reply withi eply received b	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI may be available under the provisions of 37 C HS from the mailing date of this communication by specified above is less than thirty (30) days by is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no evion.  s, a reply within the state period will apply and we statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	nunication.			
1)	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a)☐	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3) [] Disp siti	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s)	<u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 9, 15-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6, 8 , 10-13</u> is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers	3							
9) 🗆 -	The specifi	cation is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10) 🗆 🦪	The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the Example 1	miner.				
	Applicant	may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🧵	The propos	ed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ a	pproved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
	If approve	d, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Of	fice action.					
12) 🗌 🧵	The oath o	r declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
Pri rity u	nder 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖾	Acknowled	dgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				•		onlication)			
	<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>								
	15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment			•	•					
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94¢ sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) o(s) <u>4</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1				
U.S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims do not identify the type of molecular weight measurement for the polymers, such as number average molecular weight or weight average molecular weight.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9 and 14-20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/81471 in view of EP 0 997 495.

Each of the two references disclose a resin composition comprising polyphenylene ether and a liquid crystal polyester. The World Patent further discloses various additives for the composition including a mono-, di- or tri-valent metal component such as set forth in applicant's claims. See pages 7-27. Antioxidants, flame retardants and elastomers may also be included in the compositions. See page 30. The EP reference discloses certain additives suitable for the PPE/polyester compositions set forth therein. These additives include metal components, phosphate flame retardants and melamine components which directly correspond to the components set forth in the present claims. See pages 11- 14.

Any art which may be cited on form PTO-892 is cited only to document technological background information.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the additives of the EP reference into the compositions of the World Patent to achieve their usual properties in improving flame retardancy. The additive components of the EP reference directly correspond to those of the applicant's claims. Therefor the present claims are considered obvious over the combination of the prior art teachings.

Claims 6, 8 and 10-13 are objected to as depending upon a rejected base claim.

Claim 22 appears to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Kriellion Sanders at telephone number 703-308-2435.

Kriellion Sanders

**Primary Examiner** 

Group 1700